arXiv:1605.05713v1 [cs.IT] 18 May 2016

Full characterization of generalized bent functions as (semi)-bent spaces, their dual, and the Gray image

Samir Hodžić * Wilfried Meidl † Enes Pasalic‡

Abstract

In difference to many recent articles that deal with generalized bent (gbent) functions $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathbb{Z}_q$ for certain small valued $q \in \{4, 8, 16\}$, we give a complete description of these functions for both n even and odd and for any $q = 2^k$ in terms of both the necessary and sufficient conditions their component functions need to satisfy. This enables us to completely characterize gbent functions as algebraic objects, namely as affine spaces of bent or semi-bent functions with interesting additional properties, which we in detail describe. We also specify the dual and the Gray image of gbent functions for $q = 2^k$. We discuss the subclass of gbent functions which corresponds to relative difference sets, which we call $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent functions, and point out that they correspond to a class of vectorial bent functions. The property of being $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent is much stronger than the standard concept of a gbent function. We analyse two examples of this class of functions.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{V}_n$ be an n-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_2$, and let $\mathcal{B}_n$ denote the set of Boolean functions from $\mathbb{V}_n$ to $\mathbb{F}_2$. The *Walsh-Hadamard transform* of $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ at a point $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{W}_f(u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{V}_n} (-1)^{f(x) \oplus u \cdot x},$$

where "$\cdot$" denotes an inner product on $\mathbb{V}_n$. When $\mathbb{V}_n = \mathbb{F}_2^n$ we may take the dot product, in the case of a finite field with 2^n elements $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, the standard inner product is $x \cdot y = \mathrm{Tr_n}(xy)$, where $\mathrm{Tr_n}(z)$ denotes the absolute trace of z. Note that the characters of $\mathbb{V}_n \times \mathbb{F}_2$ are $\chi_{a,u}(x, y) = (-1)^{ay \oplus u \cdot x}$, $a \in \{0, 1\}$, $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$. Hence $\mathcal{W}_f(u) = \chi_{1,u}(D)$, where $D = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{V}_n\}$ is the graph of f.

A function $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is called *bent* if $\mathcal{W}_f(u)$ has absolute value $2^{n/2}$ for all $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$, i.e., $|\chi_{a,u}(D)| = 2^{n/2}$ for all characters which are nontrivial on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{F}_2$ ($a \neq 0$), hence f can be identified with a relative difference set D in $\mathbb{V}_n \times \mathbb{F}_2$, see [14, 23]. Since $\mathcal{W}_f(u)$ is an integer, for a bent function we have $\mathcal{W}_f(u) = 2^{n/2}(-1)^{f^*(u)}$ for a Boolean function $f^* \in \mathcal{B}$, called the *dual* of f, which then is also bent. Obviously, Boolean bent functions only exist when n is even. When

*University of Primorska, FAMNIT, Koper, Slovenia, e-mail: samir.hodzic@famnit.upr.si

†Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics, OEAW, Linz, Austria, email: meidl-wilfried@gmail.com

‡University of Primorska, FAMNIT & IAM, Koper, Slovenia, e-mail: enes.pasalic6@gmail.com

n is odd, a *semi-bent* function is defined as a function $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ for which $\mathcal{W}_f(u) \in \{\pm 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}, 0\}$ for all $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$. A function $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is called *s-plateaued* if its Walsh spectrum only takes three values 0 and $\pm 2^{\frac{n+s}{2}}$ $(0 \leq s \leq n)$. Note that n and s must have the same parity. Let K be a subset of $\mathbb{V}_n$. By ϕ_K we denote a Boolean function in $\mathcal{B}_n$ whose value in x is 1 if $x \in K$ and 0 if $x \notin K$. The function ϕ_E is called *the indicator of* K.

Many more variants of bent functions, like bent functions in odd characteristic, vectorial bent functions from $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ to $\mathbb{F}_p^m$, negabent functions, bent$_4$ functions, all corresponding to relative difference sets in respective groups, have been investigated. The reader is referred to, for instance, the articles [4, 6, 13, 16, 19, 25] and the recent survey article [17]. For a very general viewpoint considering bent functions over arbitrary abelian groups, we refer to [15].

For a positive integer q let $\mathbb{Z}_q$ be the ring of integers modulo q. We call a function f from $\mathbb{V}_n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_q$ a *generalized Boolean function*, and denote the set of generalized Boolean functions from $\mathbb{V}_n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_q$ by $\mathcal{GB}_n^q$. If $q = 2$, then f is Boolean, and $\mathcal{GB}_n^2 = \mathcal{B}_n$. Having applications of functions from $\mathbb{V}_n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_4$ in code-division multiple access systems in mind, in [18] Schmidt introduced a class of functions which further on were called *generalized bent* (*gbent*). A function $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^q$ for which the *generalized Walsh-Hadamard transform* (GWHT) at a point $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$ defined as the complex valued function

$$\mathcal{H}_f^{(q)}(u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{V}_n} \zeta_q^{f(x)} (-1)^{u \cdot x},$$

where $\zeta_q = e^{2\pi i/q}$ (or any other complex qth-primitive root of unity), has absolute value $2^{n/2}$ for all $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$, is called a generalized bent function. Note that when f is Boolean, then $\mathcal{H}_f^{(2)}(u) = \mathcal{W}_f(u)$.

Currently there is a lot of research activity regarding the construction and analysis of gbent functions, see for instance [5, 7, 8, 9, 12, 18, 20, 22]. The quaternary $q = 4$ and octal case $q = 8$ were investigated in [18, 20] and [12, 21], respectively. Quite recently [8], a complete characterization of gbent functions was also given for $q = 16$. In these cases gbent functions were fully characterized by specifying both the related necessary and sufficient conditions. The first general characterization of gbent functions, in terms of the coordinate functions for any q even and n both even/odd, is given in [5, Theorem 4.1]. More precisely, for $2^{k-1} < q \leq 2^k$, to any generalized function $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathbb{Z}_q$, one may associate a unique sequence of Boolean functions $a_i \in \mathcal{B}_n$ $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, h-1)$ such that $f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + 2^2 a_2(x) + \ldots + 2^{k-1} a_{k-1}(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, where a_i are then called the coordinate functions of f. The gbent conditions derived in [5] were only sufficient and whether these are also necessary was left as an open problem. Necessary conditions for a function $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ to be gbent are in [8] when n is even and also for odd n in [10].

In this paper we are interested in gbent functions in $\mathcal{GB}_n^q$ where $q = 2^k$ and $k > 1$ is a positive integer. In difference to the above mentioned results that only considered gbent functions for $q \in \{4, 8, 16\}$, we give a complete characterization of these functions for both n even and odd and for any $q = 2^k$. We start with with both, *necessary and sufficient* conditions their coordinate functions need to satisfy. These conditions are equivalent to those very recently published online in [24]. Notably we then describe gbent functions as algebraic objects, a characterization which goes far beyond the conventional descriptions in terms the Walsh transforms of linear combinations of the coordinate functions, which in accordance with the terminilogy for vectorial bent function we call the *component functions* of the gbent function.

We show that gbent functions correspond to affine spaces of bent functions when n is even and semi-bent functions when n is odd, with certain interesting additional properties, which we precisely describe. Employing conventional equivalence, we show that gbent functions and affine spaces of bent (semi-bent) functions with these properties, are identical objects. These results essentially completely resolve the case of gbent functions from $\mathbb{V}_n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$, using the approach based on Hadamard matrices introduced in [5].

We recall that in the case of $q = 2^k$ we always have $\mathcal{H}_f^{(2^k)}(u) = 2^{n/2}\zeta_{2^k}^{f^*(u)}$, (except for the case that n is odd and $q = 4$), for a function $f^* \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$, which we call the dual of f, see [8]. As pointed out in [9], f^* is also a gbent function. In this direction, we completely specify the dual and Grey image of any gbent function when n is even and for any $q = 2^k$. The case n being odd appears to be harder to deal with the approach based on Hadamard matrices (as used in this article) and it is left as an open problem. Regarding a natural connection of gbent functions and the so-called Gray images, it is shown that the Gray map of a gbent function $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ is $(k-1)$-plateaued if n is even, and $(k-2)$-plateaued if n is odd. This generalizes the results on the Gray map given in [8, 20] for $k = 2, 3$ and 4.

We emphasize here that a gbent function conceptually *does not* correspond to a bent function, since in the definition of GWHT not all characters of $\mathbb{V}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ are considered. Thus, in general, a gbent function does not give rise to a relative difference set. For this reason we extend the definition and introduce the term of a $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent function. We call a function $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ a $\mathbb{Z}_q$-*bent* function if

$$\mathcal{H}_f^{(q)}(a, u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{V}_n} \zeta_q^{af(x)}(-1)^{u \cdot x}$$

has absolute value $2^{n/2}$ for all $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$ and all nonzero $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$. Whereas there are several constructions of gbent functions, a class of $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent functions seems not to be easy to obtain. For a construction using (partial) spreads, we refer to [9].

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some preliminary results which are used later. A necessary and sufficient condition, given in terms of Hadamard matrices, for a function $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ to be gbent is given in Section 3. In Section 4.1, we use these conditions to completely characterize gbent functions as affine (semi-)bent spaces with certain properties. We introduce the term $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent functions for those gbent functions that correspond to relative difference sets in $\mathbb{V}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_q$, $q = 2^k$, in Section 5. We describe some of their properties and analyse two explicit examples of this class of functions. In Section 6 we specify the dual and Gray map of gbent functions $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$.

2 Preliminaries

A $(1, -1)$-matrix H of order p is called a *Hadamard* matrix if $HH^T = pI_p$, where H^T is the transpose of H, and I_p is the $p \times p$ identity matrix. A special kind of Hadamard matrix is the *Sylvester-Hadamard* or *Walsh-Hadamard* matrix, denoted by H_{2^k}, which is constructed recursively using Kronecker product $H_{2^k} = H_2 \otimes H_{2^{k-1}}$, where

$$H_1 = (1); \quad H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad H_{2^k} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{2^{k-1}} & H_{2^{k-1}} \\ H_{2^{k-1}} & -H_{2^{k-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For technical reasons we start the row and column index of H_{2^k} with 0, and we denote the r-th row of H_{2^k} by $H_{2^k}^{(r)}$, $0 \le r \le 2^k - 1$. To an integer $j = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} j_i 2^i$, $0 \le j \le 2^k - 1$, we assign $z_j = (j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$, which also implies an ordering of the elements of $\mathbb{F}_2^k$.

We summarize some properties of the Sylvester-Hadamard matrix in the following lemma. The first one follows from the recursive definition of H_{2^k}, the second is the well-known property that each row of H_{2^k} is the evaluation of some linear function. The third one may be less well known, hence we provide the proof of this property.

Lemma 1. *(i) Each row of H_{2^k} is uniquely determined by the signs of the entries at positions 2^s, $s = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$.*

(ii) Let $z_j = (j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$, where $j = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} j_i 2^i$, $0 \le j \le 2^k - 1$. Then

$$H_{2^k}^{(r)} = ((-1)^{z_0 \cdot z_r}, (-1)^{z_1 \cdot z_r}, \ldots, (-1)^{z_{2^k-1} \cdot z_r}).$$

(iii) Let $W = (w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{2^k-1})$, where $w_i = \pm 1$, $0 \le i \le 2^k - 1$. Then $W = \pm H_{2^k}^{(r)}$ for some $r \in \{0, \ldots, 2^k - 1\}$ if and only if for any four distinct integers $j, c, l, v \in \{0, \ldots, 2^k - 1\}$ such that $z_j \oplus z_c \oplus z_l \oplus z_v = \mathbf{0}$ we have

$$w_j w_c = w_l w_v. \tag{1}$$

Proof of (iii). Let $W = (w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{2^k-1}) = \pm H_{2^k}^{(r)}$ for some (fixed) $r \in \{0, \ldots, 2^k - 1\}$, and let $j, c, l, v \in \{0, \ldots, 2^k - 1\}$ be arbitrary distinct integers such that $z_j \oplus z_c \oplus z_l \oplus z_v = \mathbf{0}$. By (ii),

$$H_{2^k}^{(r)} = ((-1)^{z_r \cdot z_0}, (-1)^{z_r \cdot z_1}, \ldots, (-1)^{z_r} \cdot z_{2^k-1}).$$

Hence relation (1) can be written as

$$(-1)^{z_r \cdot z_j} (-1)^{z_r \cdot z_c} = (-1)^{z_r \cdot z_l} (-1)^{z_r \cdot z_v},$$

or equivalently

$$(-1)^{z_r \cdot (z_j \oplus z_c \oplus z_l \oplus z_v)} = 1,$$

which is satisfied for z_j, z_c, z_l, z_v with $z_j \oplus z_c \oplus z_l \oplus z_v = \mathbf{0}$.
Suppose conversely that (1) holds for all j, c, l, z, v with $z_j \oplus z_c \oplus z_l \oplus z_v = \mathbf{0}$. Then, to show that $W = \pm H_{2^k}^{(r)}$ for some r, $0 \le r \le 2^k - 1$, we proceed by induction on k. Trivially it holds for $k = 1$, since $\pm H_2^{(r)}$, $r = 0, 1$, covers all possible combinations for (w_0, w_1). For $k = 2$, we first notice that all solutions of the equality $w_0 w_1 = w_2 w_3$ with $w_i = \pm 1, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, are the quadruples (w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3) containing an even number of -1s. As it is easy to see, all such quadruples W are of the form $W = (w_0, w_1, \pm(w_0, w_1))$, hence equal to $\pm H_4^{(r)}$ for some $r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Before we continue with the induction proof, we also add the argument for $k = 3$. With the above argument applied to the quadruples $(4, 5, 6, 7)$ and $(0, 1, 4, 5)$, we get $(w_6, w_7) = \pm(w_4, w_5)$ and $(w_4, w_5) = \pm(w_0, w_1)$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} (w_0, \ldots, w_7) &= (w_0, w_1, \pm(w_0, w_1), \pm(w_0, w_1, \pm(w_0, w_1))) \\ &= \pm(H_{2^2}^{(d)}, \pm H_{2^2}^{(d)}) = \pm H_{2^3}^{(r)}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $0 \leq r \leq 7$.
Now suppose that the following holds for a tuple $W = (w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{2^{k-1}-1})$ of length 2^{k-1} with entries in $\{-1, 1\}$: If for all $0 \leq j < c < l < v \leq 2^{k-1} - 1$ with $z_j \oplus z_c \oplus z_l \oplus z_v = \mathbf{0}$ we have $w_j w_c = w_l w_v$, then $W = \pm H^{(r)}_{2^{k-1}}$ for some $r \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2^{k-1} - 1\}$.

Let now $W = (w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{2^k-1})$, $w_i = \pm 1$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^k - 1$, such that $w_j w_c = w_l w_v$ for all $0 \leq j < c < l < v \leq 2^k - 1$ with $z_j \oplus z_c \oplus z_l \oplus z_v = \mathbf{0}$. By induction hypothesis, we then have $(w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{2^{k-1}-1}) = \pm H^{(r)}_{2^{k-1}}$ and $(w_{2^{k-1}}, w_{2^{k-1}+1}, \ldots, w_{2^k-1}) = \pm H^{(\bar{r})}_{2^{k-1}}$ for some $r, \bar{r} \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2^{k-1} - 1\}$. We have to show that $\bar{r} = r$, or equivalently $w_{2^{k-1}+j} = w_j$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^{k-1} - 1$, or $w_{2^{k-1}+j} = -w_j$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^{k-1} - 1$. By (i), it is sufficient to show that $w_{2^{k-1}+2^s} = w_{2^s}$, $s = 0, 1, \ldots, k-2$, or $w_{2^{k-1}+2^s} = -w_{2^s}$, $s = 0, 1, \ldots, k-2$. We consider the quadruples $(j, c, l, v) = (0, 2^s, 2^{k-1}, 2^{k-1} + 2^s)$, $s = 0, 1, \ldots, k-2$, for which $z_j \oplus z_c \oplus z_l \oplus z_v = 0$ always holds. Since they satisfy (1), either $w_0, w_{2^s}, w_{2^{k-1}}, w_{2^{k-1}+2^s}$ have all the same sign, or exactly two of them are negative. Consequently, if $w_0 = w_{2^{k-1}}$, then we must have $w_{2^s} = w_{2^{k-1}+2^s}$, $s = 0, 1, \ldots, k-2$, and if $w_0 = -w_{2^{k-1}}$, then $w_{2^s} = -w_{2^{k-1}+2^s}$, $s = 0, 1, \ldots, k-2$. □

In what follows we derive and recall some basic results on gbent functions, which are proved useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2. *Let $k \geq 3$. Then $\sqrt{2}\zeta_{2^k}^j$ is uniquely represented in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^k})$ as*

$$\sqrt{2}\zeta_{2^k}^j = \pm\zeta_{2^k}^{J_1} \pm \zeta_{2^k}^{J_2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^k}).$$

for some $0 \leq J_1 < J_2 \leq 2^{k-1} - 1$ with $J_2 - J_1 = 2^{k-2}$.

Proof. W.l.o.g. let $\zeta_{2^3} = \zeta_{2^k}^{2^{k-3}} = (1+i)/\sqrt{2}$, and hence

$$\sqrt{2}\zeta_{2^k}^j = (\zeta_{2^k}^j + i\zeta_{2^k}^j)/\zeta_{2^k}^{2^{k-3}} = \zeta_{2^k}^{j-2^{k-3}} + \zeta_{2^k}^{2^{k-2}}\zeta_{2^k}^{j-2^{k-3}} = \zeta_{2^k}^{j-2^{k-3}} + \zeta_{2^k}^{j+2^{k-3}}.$$

As $\zeta_{2^k}^j = -\zeta_{2^k}^{j-2^{k-1}}$ we can assume that $0 \leq j \leq 2^{k-1} - 1$. Again using that $\zeta_{2^k}^{2^{k-1}} = -1$, we can then write $\sqrt{2}\zeta_{2^k}^j$ as

$$\sqrt{2}\zeta_{2^k}^j = \begin{cases} -\zeta_{2^k}^{j-2^{k-3}+2^{k-1}} + \zeta_{2^k}^{j+2^{k-3}} & \text{if } j - 2^{k-3} < 0, \\ \zeta_{2^k}^{j-2^{k-3}} + \zeta_{2^k}^{j+2^{k-3}} & \text{if } 0 \leq j - 2^{k-3} < j + 2^{k-3} < 2^{k-1}, \\ \zeta_{2^k}^{j-2^{k-3}} - \zeta_{2^k}^{j+2^{k-3}-2^{k-1}} & \text{if } j + 2^{k-3} \geq 2^{k-1}. \end{cases}$$

In either case $\sqrt{2}\zeta^j$ is of the form $\pm\zeta_{2^k}^{J_1} \pm \zeta_{2^k}^{J_2}$ for some $0 \leq J_1 < J_2 \leq 2^{k-1}-1$ with $J_2 - J_1 = 2^{k-2}$. Since $\{1, \zeta_{2^k}, \ldots, \zeta_{2^k}^{2^{k-1}-1}\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^k})$, this representation is unique. □

To any generalized Boolean function $f : \mathbb{V}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$, we may associate the sequence of Boolean functions $a_j \in \mathcal{B}_n$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$, for which

$$f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + 2^2 a_2(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{V}_n. \tag{2}$$

For an integer i, $0 \leq i \leq 2^{k-1}-1$, with $i = \sum_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}} i_j 2^j$, $i_j \in \{0,1\}$, we define the i-th *component function* $g_i \in \mathcal{B}_n$ of f as

$$g_i(x) = a_{k-1}(x) \oplus i_0 a_0(x) \oplus \cdots \oplus i_{k-2} a_{k-2}(x). \tag{3}$$

For an element $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$, let $\mathcal{W}(u) = (\mathcal{W}_{g_0}(u),\ \mathcal{W}_{g_1}(u), \ldots, \mathcal{W}_{g_{2^{k-1}-1}}(u))$ and let $\mathbf{S}(u) = (S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{2^{k-1}-1})$ be the vector defined by

$$\mathbf{S}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} S_0 \\ S_1 \\ \vdots \\ S_{2^{k-1}-1} \end{pmatrix} := H_{2^{k-1}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{W}_{g_0}(u) \\ \mathcal{W}_{g_1}(u) \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{W}_{g_{2^{k-1}-1}}(u) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

In [5] the following proposition has been shown.

Proposition 1. *[5] Let $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ and $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$. Then*

$$2^{k-1}\mathcal{H}_f(u) = (1, \zeta_{2^k}, \ldots, \zeta_{2^k}^{2^{k-1}-1}) \cdot \mathbf{S}(u) = S_0 + S_1\zeta_{2^k} + \cdots + S_{2^{k-1}-1}\zeta_{2^k}^{2^{k-1}-1}.$$

3 Necessary and sufficient conditions

In this section we present necessary and sufficient conditions for the gbentness of functions $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ given as in (2). We provide an equivalent form of these conditions in terms of certain spectral properties of the component functions of f. In the next section, we will use these conditions to completely characterize gbent functions as algebraic objects, which are shown to possess a lot of structure and to have some interesting properties.

Theorem 1. *Let $f(x) = a_0(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-2}a_{k-2}(x) + 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$, and let $g_i(x) = a_{k-1}(x) \oplus i_0 a_0(x) \oplus i_1 a_1(x) \oplus \cdots \oplus i_{k-2}a_{k-2}(x)$, $0 \leq i \leq 2^{k-1}-1$, where $i = \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} i_j 2^j$ and $i_j \in \{0,1\}$.*

(i) If n is even, then f is gbent if and only if g_i is bent for all $0 \leq i \leq 2^{k-1}-1$, such that for all $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$,

$$\mathcal{W}(u) = (\mathcal{W}_{g_0}(u), \mathcal{W}_{g_1}(u), \ldots, \mathcal{W}_{g_{2^{k-1}-1}}(u)) = \pm 2^{\frac{n}{2}} H^{(r)}_{2^{k-1}} \tag{5}$$

for some r, $0 \leq r \leq 2^{k-1}-1$, depending on u.

(ii) If n is odd, then f is gbent if and only if g_i is semi-bent for all $0 \leq i \leq 2^{k-1}-1$, such that for all $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$,

$$\mathcal{W}(u) = (\pm 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} H^{(r)}_{2^{k-2}}, \boldsymbol{0}_{2^{k-2}}) \quad or \quad \mathcal{W}(u) = (\boldsymbol{0}_{2^{k-2}}, \pm 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} H^{(r)}_{2^{k-2}}) \tag{6}$$

for some r, $0 \leq r \leq 2^{k-2}-1$, depending on u ($\boldsymbol{0}_{2^{k-2}}$ is the all-zero vector of length 2^{k-2}).

Proof. First we consider the case (i) when n is even. The sufficiency of (5) has been shown in [5], though in a more general context for $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^q$, where q is an arbitrary even integer. For the sake of completeness we include the proof arguments here. Suppose that (5) holds, which also implies that all g_i are bent. By the definition of S_t, $0 \le t \le 2^{k-1}-1$, we then have $S_t = 0$ if $t \neq r$, and $S_r = \pm 2^{n/2} 2^{k-1}$. Proposition 1 then yields $2^{k-1}\mathcal{H}_h(u) = \pm 2^{n/2} 2^{k-1} \zeta_{2^k}^r$, hence f is gbent.

Now, conversely, suppose that f is gbent. By Proposition 1, we then have

$$S_0 + S_1\zeta_{2^k} + \cdots + S_{2^{k-1}-1}\zeta_{2^k}^{2^{k-1}-1} = 2^{k-1}\mathcal{H}_f(u) = \pm 2^{k-1} 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_{2^k}^r$$

for some r, $0 \le r \le 2^{k-1}-1$. Since $\{1, \zeta_{2^k}, \ldots, \zeta_{2^k}^{2^{k-1}-1}\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^k})$, this implies that $S_t = 0$, $0 \le t \le 2^{k-1}-1$, $t \neq r$, and $S_r = \pm 2^{k-1} 2^{\frac{n}{2}}$. By the invertibility of $H_{2^{k-1}}$, the only solution for $\mathcal{W}(u)$ in the resulting linear system is $\mathcal{W}(u) = \pm 2^{\frac{n}{2}} H_{2^{k-1}}^{(r)}$. Hence (5) holds, also implying that all g_i are bent.

For the case (ii), when n is odd, the sufficiency of (6) has also been shown in [5]. Again, for the sake of completeness, we include the proof arguments. If (6) holds, then by (4), for $j \in \{r, r+2^{k-2}\}$ we have $S_j = \pm 2^{k-2} 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, and $S_j = 0$ if $j \neq r, r+2^{k-2}$. Hence, from Proposition 1, we get

$$\mathcal{H}_f(u) = \pm 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \zeta_{2^k}^r \pm 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \zeta_{2^k}^{r+2^{k-2}} = 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \zeta_{2^k}^r (\pm 1 \pm i) = 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_{2^k}^r \zeta_8^j,$$

for some $j \in \{1,3,5,7\}$. Therefore, f is gbent.

If conversely f is gbent, then by Proposition 1 we have

$$S_0 + S_1\zeta_{2^k} + \cdots + S_{2^{k-1}-1}\zeta_{2^k}^{2^{k-1}-1} = 2^{k-1}\mathcal{H}_f(u) = 2^{k-1} 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \sqrt{2} \zeta_{2^k}^j,$$

for some $0 \le j \le 2^{k-1}-1$. By Lemma 2, there exists (a unique) r, $0 \le r \le 2^{k-2}-1$, such that

$$\sqrt{2}\zeta_{2^k}^j = \pm \zeta_{2^k}^r \pm \zeta_{2^k}^{r+2^{k-2}}.$$

Combining the two above relations, we have

$$S_0 + S_1\zeta_{2^k} + \cdots + S_{2^{k-1}-1}\zeta_{2^k}^{2^{k-1}-1} = 2^{k-1} 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\pm \zeta_{2^k}^r \pm \zeta_{2^k}^{r+2^{k-2}}).$$

Therefore, $S_r = \pm 2^{k-2} 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, $S_{r+2^{k-2}} = \pm 2^{k-2} 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, and $S_t = 0$ for $t \neq r, r+2^{k-2}$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{S}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} S_0 \\ \vdots \\ S_r \\ \vdots \\ S_{r+2^{k-2}} \\ \vdots \\ S_{2^{k-1}-1} \end{pmatrix} = 2^{k-2} 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{e_1} \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{e_2} \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_1, e_2 \in \{0,1\}.$$

By the invertibility of $H_{2^{k-1}}$, the linear system (4) has a unique solution for all four possibilities of $\mathbf{S}(u)$. As now easily observed, these solutions are $(2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} H_{2^{k-2}}^{(r)}, \mathbf{0}_{2^{k-2}})$, $(-2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} H_{2^{k-2}}^{(r)}, \mathbf{0}_{2^{k-2}})$, $(\mathbf{0}_{2^{k-2}}, 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} H_{2^{k-2}}^{(r)})$, and $(\mathbf{0}_{2^{k-2}}, -2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} H_{2^{k-2}}^{(r)})$ for $(e_1, e_2) = (1,1), (-1,1), (1,-1)$, and $(-1,-1)$, respectively. □

Combining Theorem 1 and Lemma 1(iii) gives a characterization of the gbent property in terms of the Walsh spectral values of the component functions. More precisely, the quadruples (four vectors) of a suitable vector space $\mathbb{V}_{k-1}$ which build a 2-dimensional flat specify the component functions whose spectra satisfy certain conditions as described below. In other words, the characterization in Theorem 1, which relates the spectral values of component functions to the rows of Hadamard matrices, turns out to be equivalent to a particular relation of the Walsh spectral values for the above defined quadruples.

Proposition 2. *(i) Let n be even, $k \geq 3$, and represent $i = \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} i_j 2^j$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2^{k-1}-1$, with $i_j \in \{0,1\}$. Assume $g_i(x) = a_{k-1}(x) \oplus i_0 a_0(x) \oplus i_1 a_1(x) \oplus \cdots \oplus i_{k-2} a_{k-2}(x)$ are bent functions, for $0 \leq i \leq 2^{k-1}-1$. For $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$, the condition in Theorem 1*

$$\mathcal{W}(u) = (\mathcal{W}_{g_0}(u), \mathcal{W}_{g_1}(u), \ldots, \mathcal{W}_{g_{2^{k-1}-1}}(u)) = \pm 2^{\frac{n}{2}} H^{(r)}_{2^{k-1}} \tag{7}$$

holds for some $r \in \{0, \ldots, 2^{k-1}-1\}$, if and only if for any four distinct integers $j, c, l, v \in \{0, \ldots, 2^{k-1}-1\}$ such that $z_j \oplus z_c \oplus z_l \oplus z_v = \mathbf{0}$, the integers $\mathcal{W}_{g_j}(u), \mathcal{W}_{g_c}(u), \mathcal{W}_{g_l}(u), \mathcal{W}_{g_v}(u) \in \{-2^{\frac{n}{2}}, 2^{\frac{n}{2}}\}$ satisfy the equality

$$\mathcal{W}_{g_j}(u)\mathcal{W}_{g_c}(u) = \mathcal{W}_{g_l}(u)\mathcal{W}_{g_v}(u). \tag{8}$$

(ii) Similarly, when n be odd, let us assume that $g_i(x) = a_{k-1}(x) \oplus i_0 a_0(x) \oplus i_1 a_1(x) \oplus \cdots \oplus i_{k-2} a_{k-2}(x)$ are semi-bent functions, for any $0 \leq i \leq 2^{k-1}-1$. Then,

$$\mathcal{W}(u) = (\pm 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} H^{(r)}_{2^{k-2}}, \boldsymbol{0}_{2^{k-2}})$$

for some $0 \leq r \leq 2^{k-2}-1$, if and only if $\mathcal{W}_{g_j}(u) = 0$ for all $2^{k-2} \leq j \leq 2^{k-1}-1$ and $\mathcal{W}_{g_j}(u) \neq 0$ for all $0 \leq j \leq 2^{k-2}-1$ such that for any four distinct integers $j, c, l, v \in \{0, \ldots, 2^{k-2}-1\}$ with $z_j \oplus z_c \oplus z_l \oplus z_v = \mathbf{0}$, the integers $\mathcal{W}_{g_j}(u), \mathcal{W}_{g_c}(u), \mathcal{W}_{g_l}(u), \mathcal{W}_{g_v}(u) \in \{-2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}, 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\}$ satisfy the equality

$$\mathcal{W}_{g_j}(u)\mathcal{W}_{g_c}(u) = \mathcal{W}_{g_l}(u)\mathcal{W}_{g_v}(u). \tag{9}$$

A similar statement is valid for $\mathcal{W}(u) = (\boldsymbol{0}_{2^{k-2}}, \pm 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} H^{(r)}_{2^{k-2}})$.

Proof. The proposition follows from Theorem 1 and Lemma 1(iii). □

4 Gbent conditions in terms of affine (semi-)bent spaces

In the previous section we have provided two different characterizations of gbent property, though both are closely related to certain properties of the component functions. The derived conditions essentially also capture the inherent properties of the affine spaces of (semi-)bent functions that correspond to gbent functions. In this section we specify these affine spaces of (semi-)bent functions and also address the affine equivalence of gbent functions in a rigour manner.

We first develop equivalent gbent conditions in terms of affine bent spaces for even n. In this case, by the definition of the dual g^* of a bent function g, the relation (9) in Proposition 2 is equivalent to

$$(-1)^{g_j^*(u)}(-1)^{g_c^*(u)} = (-1)^{g_l^*(u)}(-1)^{g_v^*(u)},$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$. Hence $g_j^* \oplus g_c^* \oplus g_l^* \oplus g_v^* = 0$, if j, c, l, v satisfy $z_j \oplus z_c \oplus z_l \oplus z_v = \mathbf{0}$. Observing that $g_j \oplus g_c \oplus g_l \oplus g_v = 0$ if and only if $z_j \oplus z_c \oplus z_l \oplus z_v = \mathbf{0}$, we obtain the following corollary from Theorem 1 and Proposition 2.

Corollary 1. *A function $f : \mathbb{V}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$, n even, given as $f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x)$ is gbent if and only if*

$$\mathcal{A} = a_{k-1} \oplus \langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2} \rangle$$

is an affine vector space of bent functions such that for any $h_0, h_1, h_2, h_3 \in \mathcal{A}$ with $h_0 \oplus h_1 \oplus h_2 \oplus h_3 = 0$ we have $h_0^* \oplus h_1^* \oplus h_2^* \oplus h_3^* = 0$. Equivalently, if $h_3 = h_0 \oplus h_1 \oplus h_2$, then $h_3^* = h_0^* \oplus h_1^* \oplus h_2^*$.

Corollary 1 generalizes an observation in [12], where the relations between octal gbent functions and a secondary construction of bent functions proposed by Carlet [2] were investigated. We state the version of this construction [2] given by Mesnager in [11].

Proposition 3. *[11, Th. 4] Let g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3 be bent functions from $\mathbb{V}_n$ to $\mathbb{F}_2$ such that $g_0 \oplus g_1 \oplus g_2 \oplus g_3 = 0$. Then the function*

$$g_0 g_1 \oplus g_0 g_2 \oplus g_1 g_2$$

is bent if and only if $g_0^* \oplus g_1^* \oplus g_2^* \oplus g_3^* = 0$, and its dual is $g_0^* g_1^* \oplus g_0^* g_2^* \oplus g_1^* g_2^*$.

Combining Corollary 1 and Proposition 3 we get interesting alternative conditions for gbent functions in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ when n is even.

Corollary 2. *Let n be even. A function $f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ is a gbent function if and only if $\mathcal{A} = a_{k-1} \oplus \langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2} \rangle$ is an affine vector space of bent functions such that for every (pairwise distinct) $g_i, g_j, g_l \in \mathcal{A}$ the function $g_i g_j \oplus g_i g_l \oplus g_j g_l$ is bent.*

Remark 1. *Note that if the bent functions g_i, g_j, g_l are not pairwise distinct, then $g_i g_j \oplus g_i g_l \oplus g_j g_l$ is trivially bent.*

To address the case when n is odd, we show the following analog of Proposition 3 for semi-bent functions.

Proposition 4. *Let g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3 be semi-bent functions from $\mathbb{V}_n$ to $\mathbb{F}_2$ such that $g_0 \oplus g_1 \oplus g_2 \oplus g_3 = 0$. Then, the function*

$$g_0 g_1 \oplus g_0 g_2 \oplus g_1 g_2$$

is semibent if and only if for all $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$, $\mathcal{W}_{g_i}(u) = 0$ for an even number of $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and if $\mathcal{W}_{g_i}(u) \neq 0$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, then

$$\mathcal{W}_{g_0}(u)\mathcal{W}_{g_1}(u) = \mathcal{W}_{g_2}(u)\mathcal{W}_{g_3}(u), \tag{10}$$

or

$$|\{i : \mathcal{W}_{g_i}(u) = 2^{(n+1)/2}\}| = 1, 3, \quad \text{but not} \quad \mathcal{W}_{g_0}(u) = \mathcal{W}_{g_1}(u) = \mathcal{W}_{g_2}(u). \tag{11}$$

Proof. By [2, Lemma 1] (see also Proposition 2 in [11]), for (pairwise distinct) Boolean functions g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3 such that $g_0 \oplus g_1 \oplus g_2 \oplus g_3 = 0$, the Walsh-Hadamard transform of $g = g_0g_1 \oplus g_0g_2 \oplus g_1g_2$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{W}_g(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{W}_{g_0}(u) + \mathcal{W}_{g_1}(u) + \mathcal{W}_{g_2}(u) - \mathcal{W}_{g_3}(u))$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$. The correctness of the proposition follows then easily by checking all possible combinations of $\mathcal{W}_{g_i}(u)$, $i \in \{0,1,2,3\}$. Note that (10) is equivalent to $\mathcal{W}_{g_i}(u) = -2^{(n+1)/2}$ for an even number of $i \in \{0,1,2,3\}$. □

Remark 2. *If for any $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$ for which $\mathcal{W}_{g_i}(u) \neq 0$, $i = 0,1,2,3$, the condition* (10) *always applies, then $g = g_ig_j \oplus g_ig_l \oplus g_jg_l$ is semi-bent for any $\{i,j,l\} \subset \{0,1,2,3\}$. If for some of $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$ we have* (11)*, then this is not true.*

Corollary 3. *Let n be odd. If $f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ is a gbent function, then $\mathcal{A} = a_{k-1} \oplus \langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2}\rangle = a_{k-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}$ is an affine vector space of semi-bent functions such that for every (pairwise distinct) $g_i, g_j, g_l \in \mathcal{A}$ the function $G = g_ig_j \oplus g_ig_l \oplus g_jg_l$ is semi-bent. Moreover, for every $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{W}_g(u) = 0 \ \text{ if and only if } \ g \in a_{k-1} \oplus \langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-3}\rangle, \ \text{or} \\ &\mathcal{W}_g(u) \neq 0 \ \text{ if and only if } \ g \in a_{k-1} \oplus \langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-3}\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Conversely, if $\mathcal{A} = a_{k-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}$ is an affine vector space of semibent functions such that for every (pairwise distinct) $g_i, g_j, g_l \in \mathcal{A}$ the function $G = g_ig_j \oplus g_ig_l \oplus g_jg_l$ is semibent, and $\mathcal{A} = a_{k-1} \oplus \langle a_{k-2}, \mathcal{L}_1\rangle$ for some subspace $\mathcal{L}_1$ of $\mathcal{L}$ and $a_{k-2} \notin \mathcal{L}_1$, with the property that for all $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{W}_g(u) = 0 \ \text{ if and only if } \ g \in a_{k-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_1, \ \text{or} \\ &\mathcal{W}_g(u) \neq 0 \ \text{ if and only if } \ g \in a_{k-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_1, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

then $f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-3}a_{k-3}(x) + 2^{k-2}a_{k-2}(x) + 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x)$, $a_i \in \mathcal{L}_1$, $0 \leq i \leq k-3$, is gbent.

Proof. Let $f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x)$ be a gbent function from $\mathbb{V}_n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$, n odd, and for $0 \leq i \leq 2^{k-1}-1$ let $g_i(x) = i_0a_0(x) \oplus i_1a_1(x) \oplus \cdots \oplus i_{k-2}a_{k-2}(x) \oplus a_{k-1}(x)$, where $(i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_{k-2}) = z_i$ is the binary representation of i. By Theorem 1(ii), g_i is semi-bent for all $0 \leq i \leq 2^{k-1}-1$ and

$$\mathcal{W}(u) = (\pm 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} H^{(r)}_{2^{k-2}}, \mathbf{0}_{2^{k-2}}) \ \text{ or } \ \mathcal{W}(u) = (\mathbf{0}_{2^{k-2}}, \pm 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} H^{(r)}_{2^{k-2}}) \tag{14}$$

for some $0 \leq r \leq 2^{k-2}-1$.

Let $0 \leq i < j < l < v \leq 2^{k-1}-1$ be such that $z_i \oplus z_j \oplus z_l \oplus z_v = \mathbf{0}$, where $z_i = (i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_{k-2}) \in \mathbb{V}_{k-1}$ is the binary representation of i. Since $i_{k-2} \oplus j_{k-2} \oplus l_{k-2} \oplus v_{k-2} = 0$, the following situations can then occur:

(i) $0 \leq i,j,l,v \leq 2^{k-2}-1$: In this case, either $\mathcal{W}_h(u) = 0$ for all $h \in \{g_i, g_j, g_l, g_v\}$, or $\mathcal{W}_h(u) \neq 0$ for all $h \in \{g_i, g_j, g_l, g_v\}$. In the latter case, by Proposition 2, $\mathcal{W}_{g_i}(u)\mathcal{W}_{g_j}(u) = \mathcal{W}_{g_l}(u)\mathcal{W}_{g_v}(u)$. In both cases, by Proposition 4 the function G is semi-bent.

(ii) $2^{k-2} \leq i, j, l, v \leq 2^{k-1} - 1$: The same argument as for (i) applies to this case.

(iii) $0 \leq i, j \leq 2^{k-2} - 1$, $2^{k-2} \leq l, v \leq 2^{k-1} - 1$: In this case, exactly two of $\mathcal{W}_{g_i}(u)$, $\mathcal{W}_{g_j}(u)$, $\mathcal{W}_{g_l}(u)$ $\mathcal{W}_{g_v}(u)$ are zero, hence by Proposition 4 the function G is semi-bent.

Finally, (12) follows directly from (14).

To show the converse, we first note that (13) implies that exactly 2^{k-2} entries of $\mathcal{W}(u)$ are zero, all of them being located either at the first or at the second half of $\mathcal{W}(u)$. Since we suppose that $g_i g_j \oplus g_i g_l \oplus g_j g_l$ is semibent for *all* (pairwise distinct) $g_i, g_j, g_l \in \mathcal{A}$, by Proposition 4 and Remark 2, the nonzero half of $\mathcal{W}(u)$ equals to $\pm 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} H^{(r)}_{2^{k-2}}$ for some $0 \leq r \leq 2^{k-2} - 1$. As a consequence, f is gbent by Theorem 1(ii). □

4.1 Equivalence of gbent functions

We now give the complete characterization of gbent functions, both for even and odd n, as an algebraic object. Similarly to the case of standard bent functions we discuss the concept of affine equivalence of gbent functions.

As already demonstrated, a gbent function $f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-2}a_{k-2}(x) + 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x)$ gives rise to $\mathcal{A} = a_{k-1} \oplus \langle a_0, \ldots, a_{k-2} \rangle$ which is an affine space of bent functions (semi-bent functions) with certain properties. Thus, it is natural to investigate its correspondence to apparently similar class of functions, namely to vectorial bent functions. Recall that a vectorial bent function $F : \mathbb{V}_n \to \mathbb{V}_k$, n even, $k \leq n/2$, is a function

$$F(x) = (a_0(x), a_1(x), \ldots, a_{k-1}(x)), \quad a_i \in \mathcal{B}_n,\ 0 \leq i \leq k-1, \tag{15}$$

for which every (nontrivial) component function $i_0 a_0 \oplus i_1 a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus i_{k-1} a_{k-1}$, $i_j \in \{0, 1\}$, $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, is bent. Equivalently, F is a k-dimensional vector space of bent functions with a basis $\{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}\}$. Changing the basis, that is, performing a coordinate transformation on $\mathbb{V}_k$ does not change the vector space. It is rather the representation in the form (15) that changes. In spite of a different appearance, the functions are considered to be the same. Furthermore, it is well known that a coordinate transformation on $\mathbb{V}_n$ also results in a vectorial bent function, which is said to be equivalent and is not seen as a different object. For these reasons a discussion about the equivalence of gbent functions seems to be in place.

Let $f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-2}a_{k-2}(x) + 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ be a gbent function, $b \in \langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2} \rangle$, and let B be an invertible $(k-1) \times (k-1)$-matrix over $\mathbb{V}_2$. Set $\mathbf{a} = (a_0(x), a_1(x), \ldots, a_{k-2}(x))$ and let $B\mathbf{a}^T = (b_0(x), b_1(x), \ldots, b_{k-2}(x))$. Then,

$$a_{k-1} \oplus \langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad (a_{k-1} \oplus b) \oplus \langle b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{k-2} \rangle$$

define the same affine space of bent functions respectively semi-bent functions. In particular, when n is even, the function

$$f_1(x) = b_0(x) + 2b_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-2}b_{k-2}(x) + 2^{k-1}(a_{k-1}(x) \oplus b(x))$$

is also a gbent function, describing the same object as f does. One has to be little bit more careful when n is odd, since then the vector space $\mathcal{L} = \langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2} \rangle$ contains a subspace $\mathcal{L}_1$ as described in Corollary 3. Thus, for our standard representation, when f is of the form

(2), a_{k-2} has to be chosen from $\mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{L}_1$.

As for (vectorial) bent functions one can obtain seemingly new gbent functions from a given one by applying a coordinate transformation on $\mathbb{V}_n$. Let $f : \mathbb{V}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ and let A be an invertible $n \times n$-matrix over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Then for $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{f(Ax)}(u) &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{V}_n} \zeta_{2^k}^{f(Ax)} (-1)^{u \cdot x} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{V}_n} \zeta_{2^k}^{f(x)} (-1)^{u \cdot A^{-1} x} \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{V}_n} \zeta_{2^k}^{f(x)} (-1)^{(A^{-1})^T u \cdot x} = \mathcal{H}_f((A^{-1})^T u).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $f(Ax)$ is gbent if and only if f is gbent. Consequently, gbentness is invariant under linear coordinate transformations on $\mathbb{V}_n$. From the above discussion, when n is even, we may say that $f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-2}a_{k-2}(x) + 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x)$ and $f_1(x)$ are equivalent if there exist $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{F}_2)$, $B \in GL(k-1, \mathbb{F}_2)$ and $b \in \langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2} \rangle$, such that

$$f_1(x) = b_0(Ax) + 2b_1(Ax) + \cdots + 2^{k-2}b_{k-2}(Ax) + 2^{k-1}b_{k-1}(Ax)$$

with $(b_0(x), b_1(x), \ldots, b_{k-2}(x)) = B\mathbf{a}^T$ and $b_{k-1} = a_{k-1} \oplus b$. When n is odd, we require that the coordinate transformation induced by B leaves the subspace $\mathcal{L}_1$ invariant.

We notice that the gbent property does not require require that $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2}$ are linearly independent. Hence, the vector space $\mathcal{L} = \langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2} \rangle$ may not have "full" dimension $k-1$. When n is even, in the extreme case $\dim(\mathcal{L}) = 0$, and $f(x) = 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x)$ is a gbent function if a_{k-1} is a bent function. Then the image set of f is two-valued taking the values in $\{0, 2^{k-1}\}$, but certainly one will not consider a_{k-1} and $2^{k-1}a_{k-1}$ as different objects. In general, it is easily verified that if

$$f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-2}a_{k-2}(x) + 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x)$$

is a gbent function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$, then

$$\tilde{f}(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-2}a_{k-2}(x) + 2^{r-1}a_{r-1}(x)$$

is a gbent function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{2^r}$ for any $r \geq k$. However, this quite artificial lifted version of f with a quite restricted image set, is essentially identified with $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$.

When n is odd, if

$$f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-2}a_{k-2}(x) + 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x)$$

is a gbent function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$, then

$$\tilde{f}(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-3}a_{k-3}(x) + 2^{r-2}a_{r-2}(x) + 2^{r-1}a_{r-1}(x)$$

is also a gbent function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{2^r}$, for any $r \geq k$. Again, we identify this lifted version $\tilde{f}$ with f.

Let n be even and suppose that the vector space $\langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{r-2} \rangle$ has dimension $k-1$ for some $k \leq r$. Then there exists a matrix $B \in GL(r-1, \mathbb{F}_2)$ such that $B(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{r-2})^T = (b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{k-2}, 0 \ldots, 0)$ for some linearly independent $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{k-2}$. Hence

$$\tilde{f}_1(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{r-1}a_{r-1}(x)$$

is equivalent to

$$\tilde{f} = b_0(x) + 2b_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-2}b_{k-2}(x) + 2^{r-1}a_{r-1}(x),$$

which is the lifted version of

$$f = b_0(x) + 2b_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-2}b_{k-2}(x) + 2^{k-1}a_{r-1}(x) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}.$$

As a consequence of the above discussion, we can restrict ourselves to gbent functions $f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-2}a_{k-2}(x) + 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x)$ for which $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2}$ are linearly independent. The same argument also applies to the n odd case. The following summary of our discussion is fundamental for the characterization and possibly a classification of gbent functions.

- For a gbent function $f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-2}a_{k-2}(x) + 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$, the set $\{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2}\}$ is always linearly independent (otherwise it reduces to a gbent function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{2^{k'}}$ for some $k' < k$).
- A gbent function is independent from its representation of the form (2) via a basis of $\mathcal{L} = \langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2}\rangle$, and the choice of the coset leader a_{k-1} (for odd n the existence of the distinguised subspace $\mathcal{L}_1$ of $\mathcal{L}$ has to be respected in the representation).

We can now state the main theorems, the characterization of gbent functions in terms of affine (semi-)bent spaces.

Theorem 2. *Let n be even. A gbent function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ is a $(k-1)$-dimensional affine vector space $\mathcal{A}$ of bent functions such that for every $g_i, g_j, g_l \in \mathcal{A}$ the function $g_ig_j \oplus g_ig_l \oplus g_jg_l$ is bent.*

Theorem 3. *Let n be odd. A gbent function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ is a $(k-1)$-dimensional affine vector space $\mathcal{A} = a_{k-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}$ of semibent functions for which $g_ig_j \oplus g_ig_l \oplus g_jg_l$ is semibent for every $g_i, g_j, g_l \in \mathcal{A}$, and for all $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$ we have*

$$\mathcal{W}_g(u) = 0 \text{ if and only if } g \in a_{k-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_1, \text{ or}$$
$$\mathcal{W}_g(u) \neq 0 \text{ if and only if } g \in a_{k-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_1,$$

for some $(k-2)$-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{L}_1$ of $\mathcal{L}$.

5 $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent functions, vectorial bent functions and relative difference sets

In this section, n is always even, $q = 2^k$. We recall that a $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent function is a function from an n-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{V}_n$ over $\mathbb{V}_2$ to $\mathbb{Z}_q$, for which

$$\mathcal{H}_f(a, u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{V}_n} \zeta_q^{af(x)}(-1)^{u \cdot x}$$

has absolute value $2^{n/2}$ for every $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$ and nonzero $a \in \mathbb{Z}_q = \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$. Equivalently, a $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent function given by its graph $D = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{V}_n\}$ is a $(2^n, 2^k, 2^n, 2^{n-k})$-relative difference set in $\mathbb{V}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$. Clearly, a $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent function is always gbent. In [9] more general vectorial $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent functions are considered. We focus on the most interesting case where the codomain is cyclic.

Proposition 5. *A function $f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$, n even, is $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent if and only if $2^t f(x) = 2^t a_0(x) + 2^{t+1}a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-1}a_{k-t-1}(x) \sim a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-t-1}a_{k-t-1}(x)$ is a gbent function with dimension $k-1-t$ for every $t = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$.*

Proof. If f is $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent, then $|\mathcal{H}_f^{(2^k)}(2^t, u)| = 2^{n/2}$ for every $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$ and $t = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$ by definition.

For the converse, let $a = 2^t z$, for an odd integer z and $0 \leq t \leq k-1$. We have to show that $|\mathcal{H}_f(a, u)| = 2^{n/2}$ for all $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$. Let $f_t(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-1-t}a_{k-1-t}(x)$. By assumption, for all $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$,

$$\mathcal{H}_f^{(2^k)}(2^t, u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{V}_n} \zeta_{2^k}^{2^t a_0(x) + 2^{t+1}a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-1}a_{k-t-1}(x)} (-1)^{u \cdot x} =$$

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{V}_n} \zeta_{2^{k-t}}^{a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-t-1}a_{k-t-1}(x)} (-1)^{u \cdot x} = \mathcal{H}_{f_t}^{(2^{k-t})}(u),$$

has absolute value $2^{n/2}$. Equivalently, f_t is gbent, hence $\mathcal{H}_{f_t}^{(2^{k-t})}(u) = 2^{n/2}\zeta_{2^{k-t}}^r$ for some $0 \leq r \leq 2^{k-t} - 1$ (depending on u). Observing that $\mathcal{H}_f^{(2^k)}(2^t z, u) = \mathcal{H}_{f_t}^{(2^{k-t})}(z, u)$ is obtained from $\mathcal{H}_{f_t}^{(2^{k-t})}(u)$ by exchanging the primitive 2^{k-t}-th complex root of unity $\zeta_{2^{k-t}}$ with the primitive 2^{k-t}-th complex root of unity $\zeta_{2^{k-t}}^z$, we conclude the proof. □

Remark 3. *As for a $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ we require that both $f = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ and $f_1(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-2}a_{k-2}(x) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^{k-1}}$ are gbent, thus $\langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1} \rangle$ is a vector space of bent functions, i.e., a vectorial bent function.*

We continue with two examples of $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent functions. For the first example, we employ the fact that $h(x, y) = \mathrm{Tr}_m(x\pi(y))$ is a (Maiorana-McFarland) bent function from $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ to $\mathbb{F}_2$ if and only if π is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$.

Example 1. *This example is based on the result in [12, Corollary 3]. Let m be an integer divisible by 4 but not by 5, let $b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ with $b^4 + b + 1 = 0$, and let d be the multiplicative inverse of 11 modulo $2^m - 1$. Then the function $f : \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \to \mathbb{Z}_{2^3}$*

$$f(x, y) = \mathrm{Tr}_m(c(1 + b)y^d x) + 2\mathrm{Tr}_m(c(1 + b^{-1})y^d x) + 4\mathrm{Tr}_m(cy^d x)$$

is gbent [12]. Now observe that $\mathrm{Tr}_m(c(1+b^{-1})y^d x)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_m(c(1+b)y^d x) \oplus \mathrm{Tr}_m(c(1+b^{-1})y^d x)$ are both Maiorana-McFarland bent functions. Hence the function $f_1(x, y) = \mathrm{Tr}_m(c(1+b)y^d x) + 2\mathrm{Tr}_m(c(1+b^{-1})y^d x)$ is gbent in $\mathcal{GB}_{2m}^4$ by [20, Theorem 32]. The function $f_2(x, y) = \mathrm{Tr}_m(c(1+b)y^d x)$ is bent, thus formally in $\mathcal{GB}_{2m}^2 = \mathcal{B}_{2m}$. Therefore, by Proposition 5, $f(x, y)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_8$-bent.

As our second example, we analyse the $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent function given in Theorem 12 in [9] for $t = 1$. The function is defined via spreads and it is not given in the form (2). We start by recalling that a spread of $\mathbb{V}_n$, $n = 2m$, is a family S of $2^m + 1$ subspaces $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_{2^m}$ of $\mathbb{V}_n$, whose pairwise intersection is trivial. The classical example is the regular spread, which for $\mathbb{V}_n = \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ is represented by the family $S = \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} \{(x, sx) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\} \cup \{(0, y) : y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}$. For the

regular spread in $\mathbb{V}_n = \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ we can take the family $S = \{\alpha_i \mathbb{F}_{2^m} : i = 1, \ldots, 2^m + 1\}$, where $\{\alpha_i : i = 1, \ldots, 2^m + 1\}$ is a set of representatives of the cosets of the subgroup $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ (one may take the set of the $(2^m + 1)$-th roots of unity).

Example 2. *Let $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_{2^m}$ be the elements of a spread of $\mathbb{V}_n$, $n = 2m$. We first construct a vectorial bent function F, and thereafter a $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent function f. We notice that F and f are connected as discussed in Remark 3.*

Let $\phi : \{1, 2, \ldots, 2^{n/2}\} \to \mathbb{F}_2^k$ be a balanced map, thus any $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$ has exactly $2^{n/2-k}$ preimages in the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2^{n/2}\}$. Then the function $F : \mathbb{V}_n \to \mathbb{F}_2^k$ given by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \phi(s) & : \quad x \in U_s,\ 1 \le s \le 2^m, \text{ and } x \neq 0, \\ 0 & : \quad x \in U_0, \end{cases}$$

is a vectorial bent function, see e.g. Theorem 4 in [3]. If $a_i \in \mathcal{B}_n$, $0 \le i \le k-1$, are the coordinate functions of F, i.e. if $F(x) = (a_0(x), a_1(x), \ldots, a_{k-1}(x))$, then F is the vector space of bent functions given as $\langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1} \rangle$.

We now proceed with the construction of the $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent function given as in [9]. From the balanced map ϕ, we obtain in a natural way a balanced map $\bar{\phi}$ from $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2^{n/2}\}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ defined as $\bar{\phi}(s) = y_0 + 2y_1 + \cdots + 2^{k-1} y_{k-1}$ if $\phi(s) = (y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1})$. By Theorem 12 in [9], the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \bar{\phi}(s) & : \quad x \in U_s,\ 1 \le s \le 2^m, \text{ and } x \neq 0, \\ 0 & : \quad x \in U_0, \end{cases}$$

from $\mathbb{V}_n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent. Then, written in the form (2), f is represented as $f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-1} a_{k-1}(x)$, with the Boolean functions a_i, $0 \le i \le k-1$, given as above.

We can change the representation of the vectorial bent function F by changing the basis from $\{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}\}$ to $\{a'_0, a'_1, \ldots, a'_{k-1}\}$. The same vectorial bent function has then the representation $F(x) = \{a'_0(x), a'_1(x), \ldots, a'_{k-1}(x)\}$. This change of the basis implies a modification of ϕ and $\bar{\phi}$, and results also in an alternative formal expression for the $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent function.

We emphasize that the property of being $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent is much stronger than the property of being vectorial bent. $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bent functions are very interesting vectorial bent functions since they correspond to two relative difference sets with parameters $(2^n, 2^k, 2^n, 2^{n-k})$: First of all, being vectorial bent, they correspond to the relative difference set $D = \{(x, a_0(x), a_1(x), \ldots, a_{k-1}(x)) : x \in \mathbb{V}_n\}$ in $\mathbb{V}_n \times \mathbb{F}_2^k$, and secondly, to the relative difference set $R = \{(x, a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + a_{k-1}(x)) : x \in \mathbb{V}_n\}$ in $\mathbb{V}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$. Moreover, further relative difference sets are enclosed in such a vector space of bent functions, the relative difference sets of the bent functions of the form $g_i g_j \oplus g_i g_l \oplus g_j g_l$ for some component functions g_i, g_j, g_l. These bent functions are in general not component functions of the vectorial bent function, hence their relative difference sets are not projections of D. Here we have provided a first systematic description of this class of vectorial bent functions. There are many questions on analysis and construction of such functions which one can investigate. We are convinced that these functions are an interesting target for future research.

6 The dual and Gray map of gbent functions

In this section we firstly attempt to describe the dual f^* of an arbitrary gbent function $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$. Furthermore, the Gray map of gbent functions is considered.

6.1 The dual of a gbent function

We start recalling a result of [5], which there is given more general for functions in $\mathcal{GB}_n^q$, q even.

Theorem 4. *[5] Let $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ be given as in (2), and $g_i(x) = a_{k-1}(x) \oplus i_0 a_0(x) \oplus i_1 a_1(x) \oplus \cdots \oplus i_{k-2} a_{k-2}(x)$, $0 \le i \le 2^{k-1}-1$, where $i = \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} i_j 2^j$ and $i_j \in \{0,1\}$. Then*

1. $$\zeta_{2^k}^{f(x)} = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} \alpha_i (-1)^{g_i(x)},$$

   where α_i is given by $\alpha_i = 2^{-(k-1)} H_{2^{k-1}}^{(i)} B$, for the column matrix B defined as $B = [\zeta_{2^k}^i]_{i=0}^{2^{k-1}-1}$.

2. *Consequently, for any $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$ we have*

$$\mathcal{H}_f(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} \alpha_i \mathcal{W}_{g_i}(u).$$

For even n we will describe the dual f^* of a gbent function $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ via the duals of the component functions of f.

Theorem 5. *Let n be even and $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ be a gbent function given as*

$$f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-2} a_{k-2}(x) + 2^{k-1} a_{k-1}(x),$$

for some $a_i \in \mathcal{B}_n$ $(i = 0, \ldots, k-1)$, with component functions g_j, $0 \le j \le 2^{k-1}-1$. Then the dual $f^* \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ of the function f is given as follows:

$$f^*(x) = b_0(x) + 2b_1(x) + \ldots + 2^{k-2} b_{k-2}(x) + 2^{k-1} b_{k-1}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{V}_n, \tag{16}$$

where $b_{k-1}(x) = a_{k-1}^*(x)$, $b_j(x) = a_{k-1}^*(x) \oplus (a_{k-1} \oplus a_{2^j})^*(x)$, $j = 0, \ldots, k-2$.

Proof. (i) From Theorem 4 and the regularity of a gbent function f, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_f(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} \alpha_i \mathcal{W}_{g_i}(u) = 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} \alpha_i (-1)^{g_i^*(u)} = 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_{2^k}^{f^*(u)}.$$

Suppose that $f^*(x) = b_0(x) + 2b_1(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-1} b_{k-1}(x)$ and denote the component functions of f^* by $h_i = b_{k-1} \oplus i_0 b_0 \oplus \ldots i_{k-2} b_{k-2}$, $0 \le i \le 2^{k-1}-1$ $(i = \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} i_j 2^j)$. By Theorem 4,

$$\zeta_{2^k}^{f^*(x)} = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} \alpha_i (-1)^{h_i(x)}.$$

Combining we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} \alpha_i (-1)^{h_i(u)} = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} \alpha_i (-1)^{g_i^*(u)}.$$

Observing that $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2^{k-1}-1}$ are linearly independent $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ (invertible matrix times $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{2^{k-1}-1})$), we obtain $h_i(x) = g_i^*(x)$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^{k-1} - 1$ (and all $x \in \mathbb{V}_n$). Finally, $b_{k-1} = g_0^* = a_{k-1}^*$, and with $g_{2^j}^* = b_{k-1} \oplus b_j$ and $g_{2^j} = a_{k-1} \oplus a_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, k-2$, we get

$$b_j = a_{k-1}^* \oplus (a_{k-1} \oplus a_j)^*, \; j = 1, \ldots, k-2.$$

□

Theorem 5 generalizes the results in [12] where a similar conclusion was stated for $k = 2, 3$ only.

If n is odd, then the component functions of f are semi-bent, hence the description of the dual of f for n even cannot transfer to n odd in a straightforward manner. We leave the description of the gual od a gbent function $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$, n odd, as an open problem.

6.2 The Gray map of gbent functions

In this section we specify the Gray image of any gbent function by showing that its Gray map is a $(k-1)$-plateaued function if n is even, and $(k-2)$-plateaued function if n is odd. This again generalizes the existing results that was derived in given in [8, 20] for $k = 2, 3$ and 4.

Let $f : \mathbb{V}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ be a generalized Boolean function given as

$$f(x) = a_0(x) + 2a_1(x) + 2^2 a_2(x) + \cdots + 2^{k-1} a_{k-1}(x), \; \forall x \in \mathbb{V}_n.$$

The *generalized Gray map* $\psi(f) : \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k} \to \mathcal{B}_{n+k-1}$ of f is defined by, cf. [1],

$$\psi(f)(x, y_0, \ldots, y_{k-2}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-2} a_i(x) y_i \oplus a_{k-1}(x). \tag{17}$$

We start with the following result.

Lemma 3. *[8, Lemma 15] Let $n, k-1 \geq 2$ be positive integers and $F : \mathbb{V}_n \times \mathbb{V}_{k-1} \to \mathbb{F}_2$ be defined by*

$$F(x, y_0, \ldots, y_{k-2}) = a_{k-1}(x) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-2} y_i a_i(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{V}_n,$$

where $a_i \in \mathcal{B}_n$, $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. Denote by $A(x)$ the vectorial Boolean function $A = (a_0(x), \ldots, a_{k-2}(x))$ and let $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$ and $z_r \in \mathbb{V}_{k-1}$. The Walsh-Hadamard transform of F at point $(u, z_r) \in \mathbb{V}_n \times \mathbb{V}_{k-1}$ is then

$$\mathcal{W}_F(u, z_r) = \sum_{z_j \in \mathbb{V}_{k-1}} (-1)^{z_j \cdot z_r} \mathcal{W}_{a_{k-1} \oplus z_j \cdot A}(u) = H_{2^{k-1}}^{(r)} \mathcal{W}^T(u),$$

where $\mathcal{W}(u)$ is the row vector defined by (4), i.e., $\mathcal{W}(u) = (\mathcal{W}_0(u), \ldots, \mathcal{W}_{2^{k-1}-1}(u))$ and $\mathcal{W}_j(u) = \mathcal{W}_{a_{k-1} \oplus z_j \cdot A}(u)$, $j = 0, \ldots, 2^{k-1} - 1$.

We now can show that the Gray map of a gbent function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$ is a certain plateaued function, thus generalizing the results on Gray maps in [22] and [8] which were only given for $q = 4, 8, 16$.

Proposition 6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$, n even, be a gbent function. Then $\psi(f)$ is a $(k-1)$-plateaued function in $\mathcal{B}_{n+k-1}$, thus $\mathcal{W}_{\psi(f)} \in \{0, \pm 2^{n/2+k-1}\}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1, for any $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$ we have $\mathcal{W}(u) = \pm 2^{\frac{n}{2}} H_{2^{k-1}}^{(r)}$ (f is gbent), for some $r \in \{0, \ldots, 2^{k-1}-1\}$. Then for arbitrary $(u, z_j) \in \mathbb{V}_n \times \mathbb{V}_{k-1}$, where $z_j \in \mathbb{V}_{k-1}$, from Lemma 3 we have $F = \psi(f)$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\psi(f)}(u, z_j) &= H_{2^{k-1}}^{(j)} \mathcal{W}^T(u) = H_{2^{k-1}}^{(j)} (\pm 2^{\frac{n}{2}} H_{2^{k-1}}^{(r)})^T = \pm 2^{\frac{n}{2}} H_{2^{k-1}}^{(j)} (H_{2^{k-1}}^{(r)})^T \\ &= \begin{cases} \pm 2^{\frac{n}{2}+k-1}, & r = j \\ 0 & r \neq j \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

since $H_{2^{k-1}}^{(j)} (H_{2^{k-1}}^{(r)})^T = \begin{cases} 2^{k-1}, & r = j \\ 0, & r \neq j \end{cases}$, where $H_{2^{k-1}}^{(j)}, H_{2^{k-1}}^{(r)}$ are considered as row vectors. Clearly, for $k \geq 1$ we have $\mathcal{W}_{\psi(f)}(u, z_j) \in \{0, \pm 2^{\frac{n}{2}+k-1}\}$, which means that $\psi(f)$ is a $(k-1)$-plateaued function in $\mathcal{B}_{n+k-1}$. □

Proposition 7. *Let f (defined by (2)) be a gbent function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{2^k}$, n odd. Then $\psi(f)$ is a $(k-2)$-plateaued function in $\mathcal{B}_{n+k-1}$, thus $\mathcal{W}_{\psi(f)} \in \{0, \pm 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}+k-2}\}$.*

Proof. Recall that for any $u \in \mathbb{V}_n$ we have

$$\mathcal{W}(u) = (\pm 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} H_{2^{k-2}}^{(r)}, \mathbf{0}_{2^{k-2}}) \text{ or } \mathcal{W}(u) = (\mathbf{0}_{2^{k-2}}, \pm 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} H_{2^{k-2}}^{(r)}),$$

for some $r \in \{0, \ldots, 2^{k-2}-1\}$. Consequently, for any $(u, z_j) \in \mathbb{V}_n \times \mathbb{V}_{k-1}$,

$$W_{\psi(f)}(u, z_j) = H_{2^{k-1}}^{(j)} \mathcal{W}^T(u) = \begin{cases} \pm 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}+k-2}, & r \in \{j, j+2^{k-2}\} \\ 0 & r \notin \{j, j+2^{k-2}\} \end{cases},$$

what completes the proof. □

Remark 4. *Note that Proposition 6 and Proposition 7 hold for any q if f is constructed by [5, Theorem 4.1].*

Acknowledgement. Samir Hodžić is supported in part by the Slovenian Research Agency (research program P3-0384 and Young Researchers Grant). Wilfried Meidl is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) Project no. M 1767-N26. Enes Pasalic is partly supported by the Slovenian Research Agency (research program P3-0384 and research project J1-6720).

References

[1] C. Carlet. $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$-linear Codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 1543–1547, 1998.

[2] C. Carlet, in: M.P.C. Fossorier et *al.* (Eds.), On Bent and Highly Nonlinear Balanced/Resilient Functions and their Algebraic Immunities, AAECC, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 3857, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2006, pp. 1–28.

[3] A. Çeşmelioğlu, W. Meidl, A. Pott, Vectorial bent functions and their duals. Preprint 2015.

[4] S. Gangopadhyay, E. Pasalic, P. Stănică, A note on generalized bent criteria for Boolean functions. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 59 (2013), no. 5, 3233–3236.

[5] S. Hodžić, E. Pasalic. Generalized bent functions - sufficient conditions and related constructions. Available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.08084

[6] P.V. Kumar, R.A. Scholtz, L.R. Welch, Generalized bent functions and their properties. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 40 (1985), no. 1, 90–107.

[7] H. Liu, K. Feng K, R. Feng. Nonexistence of generalized bent functions from $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_m$. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, pp. 1–16, 2016. Available at: http://arxiv.org/pdf/1507.05751

[8] T. Martinsen, W. Meidl, P. Stanica. Generalized bent functions and their Gray images. Available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.01438

[9] T. Martinsen, W. Meidl, P. Stanica. Partial spread and vectorial generalized bent functions. Available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.01705

[10] T. Martinsen, W. Meidl, S. Mesnager, P. Stanica. Decomposing generalized bent and hyperbent functions. Manuscript, 2016.

[11] S. Mesnager, Several infinite classes of bent functions and their duals, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 60 (2014), 4397–4407.

[12] W. Meidl, A secondary construction of bent functions, octal gbent functions and their duals, Mathematics and Computers in Simulation, to appear.

[13] M.G. Parker, A. Pott, On Boolean functions which are bent and negabent. Sequences, subsequences, and consequences, 9–23, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 4893, Springer, Berlin, 2007.

[14] A. Pott, Finite Geometry and Character Theort, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1995.

[15] A. Pott, *Nonlinear functions in abelian groups and relative difference sets*, Discrete Appl. Math. 138 (2004), 177–193.

[16] A. Pott, K.U. Schmidt, Y. Zhou, Semifields, relative difference sets, and bent functions. Algebraic curves and finite fields, 161–178, Radon Ser. Comput. Appl. Math., 16, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2014.

[17] A. Pott, Almost perfect and planar functions, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 78 (2016), 141–195.

[18] K.U. Schmidt, Quaternary constant-amplitude codes for multicode CDMA, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 55 (2009) 1824–1832.

[19] K.U. Schmidt, Y. Zhou, Planar functions over fields of characteristic two. J. Algebraic Combin. 40 (2014), no. 2, 503–526.

[20] P. Solé and N. Tokareva. Connections between quaternary and binary bent functions. *Cryptology ePrint Archive*, 2009. Available at https://eprint.iacr.org/2009/544.pdf

[21] P. Stanica, T. Martinsen. Octal bent generalized Boolean Functions. *IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive*, pp. 89–89, 2011.

[22] P. Stănică, T. Martinson, S. Gangopadhyay, B.K. Singh. Bent and generalized bent Boolean functions. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol. 69, pp. 77–94, 2013.

[23] Y. Tan, A. Pott, T. Feng, Strongly regular graphs associated with ternary bent functions. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 117 (2010), 668–682.

[24] C. Tang, C. Xiang, Y. Qi, K. Feng, Complete characterization of generalized bent and 2^k-bent Boolean functions. Cryptology ePrint Archive: Report 2016/335.

[25] Y. Zhou, $(2n, 2n, 2n, 1)$-relative difference sets and their representations. J. Combin. Des. 21 (2013), no. 12, 563–584.